



Online event

Recognition of Prior Learning in Higher Education

How to validate and recognize prior informal and non-formal learning

5 November 2020 | 14:30 - 16:00 CET | Zoom

Wellcome!

Anders Ahlstrand Analyst, Swedish Council for

Higher Education



How	to validate and recognize prior informal and non-formal learning
14:30-14:35	Welcome and summary of the first webinar
14:35-14:45	 Presentation of the self-evaluation template Anders Ahlstrand, Analyst, Swedish Council for Higher Education
	 How to validate? Best practices from the RPLip project's partners Susanna Boldrino, Head of Academic University Development, FH Campus Wien, Austria Deirdre Goggin, Recognition of Prior Learning and Work Based Learning Company Advisor, Extended Campus CIT, Ireland Q & A
15:25-15:55	Panel discussion
	 Mislav Balković, Dean, Algebra University College, Croatia Sylvie Bonichon, Associate Expert, EURASHE Pernilla Hultberg, Education Officer, Gothenburg University, Sweden Marjaana Mäkelä, Principal Lecturer, Haaga-Helia UAS, Finland
15:55-16:00	Wrap-up and invitation to the dissemination seminar



Poll

1. Did you take part in the first webinar "Why validation and recognition of prior informal and non-formal learning"?

- Yes
- No





The RPL in practice project

- EU funded "Support to the implementation of the EHEA reforms" Erasmus + - Key action 3: Support for policy reforms.
- Swedish Ministry of Education and Research contractor
- The Swedish Council for Higher Education, UHR, is designated by the ministry to coordinate
- Contract period April 15, 2019 April 14, 2021

https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/erasmus-plus/projects/eplus-projectdetails/#project/607050-EPP-1-2018-1-SE-EPPKA3-BOLOGNA

Project website: <u>https://www.uhr.se/en/rplinpractice</u>





The objective of the project

Promote different ways of recognising competences for access to further studies and for credits. The objective is to encourage, through structured peer learning, the participating countries/institutions to develop quality assured and consistent processes to recognise non-formal and informal learning that suit the conditions of the participating countries/institutions.





Participants: authorities, ministries and HEI:s in 5 countries and EURASHE



Dearbhú Cáilíochta agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann Quality and Qualifications Ireland











Swedish Council for Higher Education



Government of Iceland Ministry of Education, Science and Culture



REPUBLIC OF CROATIA Ministry of Science and Education

HÁSKÓLI ÍSLANDS rannís

















Why validation and recognition of prior informal and non-formal learning? 13th of October 2020

Survey; drivers and challenges for RPL

- Better access to HE and inclusion
- Understanding the RPL procedures and attitudes within the institution

Overview from the national contexts: Austria and Croatia

- Reduce skills mis-match, flexible learning paths, social dimension etc.

Panel

- Lifelong learning, learning outcomes-based curricula, recognize the workplace as a center of learning etc.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q6XE32lbgwk&feature=youtu.be





Why validation and recognition of prior informal and non-formal learning?

Nobody should be required to study something that they already know





Basic documents and background

Validation:

"a process of confirmation by an authorised body that an individual has aquired learning outcomes measured against a relevant standard"

• The Council recommendation, of 20 December 2012 on the validation of non-formal and informal learning

"The Member states should/.../have in place, no later than 2018,/.../arrangements for the validation of non-formal and informal learning"

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32012H1222%2801%29





Validation of non-formal and informal learning - the four phases of validation

The European guidelines for validating non-formal and informal learning <u>http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/files/3073_en.pdf</u>



Certification

Identification

Documentation

Assessment



Part 2. Self-assessment template – to be filled in parallel to working with an RPL case

1. Identification	Short description	Crucial points in order to achieve success	Challenges	Comments	Links to examples
Has the purpose of the					
validation been clearly					
defined and communicated					
with the candidate?					
Have the different phases of					
the validation process been					
clearly defined and					
communicated to the					
individual candidate?					
Which procedures support					
identification?					
Which tools support					
identification?					
Which identification					
approaches (e.g.					
standardised and dialogue-					
based) are used and how do					
you mix them?					
How is guidance and					
mentoring (counselling)					
supporting and integrated					1 1
into the identification phase?					
Are academics involved in the					
identification phase. If so in					1277 S
which way.					Erasmus

Self-assessment template Swedish Council for Higher Education

Part 2. Self-assessment template – to be filled in parallel to working with an RPL case

1. Identification	Short description	Crucial points in order to achieve success	Challenges	Comments	Links to examples
Has the purpose of the validation been clearly defined and communicated with the candidate?		 Clear information and support to candidates. Clear role definitions and responsibility Clear definitions of how validation can be used. 	 Make the candidates understand their responsibility and the effort they have to put into the process. Reach out to potential candidates 		https://www.cit. ie/rpl https://www.kt h.se/en/studies/ master/recogniti on-of-prior- learning-
Have the different phases of the validation process been clearly defined and communicated to the individual candidate?		Clear information about the process and what kind of information the candidate has to present. Guidance/mentoring to candidates.			<u>1.786469</u> <u>https://www.cit.</u> <u>ie/rpl/bookawor</u> <u>kshop</u>
Which procedures support identification?		- Easy access to information on Learning Outcomes on programme and course level linked to a qualification framework.	- Poorly constructed Learning Outcomes. -		https://www.cit. ie/courses/finda course
Which tools support identification?		- Templates and forms - Examples	- Know when all the relevant evidence is identified		Erasmu



Poll 2

Which is the most important point in order to implement and develop RPL at your Higher Education Institution?

- Transparent procedures and guidelines
- Support and guidelines for students
- Committed and well-informed staff
- Institutional and program level commitment and policy
- Learning Outcomes oriented curricula which considers RPL in the course development
- Appropriate funding
- Other I will write in the chat

Poll 3

Which is the main priority for the systemic implementation and development of RPL in Higher Education at the national level?

- Creating a uniform RPL process with national guidelines
- Creating a national platform (e.g. national centre for RPL)
- Better funding and financial incentives
- Increasing awareness on the RPL process
- Other I will write it in the chat



How to validate? Best practices from the RPLip project's partners

- Susanna Boldrino, Head of Academic University Development, FH Campus Wien, Austria
- **Deirdre Goggin**, Recognition of Prior Learning and Work Based Learning Company Advisor, Extended Campus CIT, Ireland

Q & A





Panel

- Mislav Balković, Dean, Algebra University College, Croatia
- Sylvie Bonichon, Associate Expert, EURASHE
- Pernilla Hultberg, Education Officer, Gothenburg University, Sweden
- Marjaana Mäkelä, Principal Lecturer, Haaga-Helia UAS, Finland





Final dissemination conference

- Project dissemination conference, spring 2021, Stockholm (?)
- Keep up-dated: <u>https://www.uhr.se/en/rplinpractice</u>

